

1 **Review**

2

3 **Manipulative parasites in the world of veterinary science: Implications for epidemiology**
4 **and pathology**

5

6

7 Clément Lagrue *, Robert Poulin

8

9 *Department of Zoology, University of Otago, P.O. Box 56, Dunedin 9054 New Zealand*

10

11

12

13

14 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +64 3 4797964

15 *E-mail address:* lagc1981@student.otago.ac.nz (C. Lagrue).

16

17 **Abstract**

18 One of the most complex and least understood transmission strategies displayed by
19 pathogenic parasites is that of manipulation of host behaviour. A wide variety of pathogens
20 alter their host's behaviour, including species of medical and veterinary importance, such as
21 *Diplostomum spathaceum*, *Echinococcus* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii*. The manipulative
22 ability of these parasites has implications for pathology and transmission dynamics. Domestic
23 animals are hosts for manipulative pathogens, either by being the target host and acquiring the
24 parasite as a result of vector-host manipulation, or by having their behaviour changed by
25 manipulative parasites. This review uses several well-known pathogens to demonstrate how
26 host manipulation by parasites is potentially important in epidemiology.

27

28 *Keywords:* Parasite manipulation; Host behaviour; Transmission; *Diplostomum spathaceum*;
29 *Echinococcus* spp.; *Toxoplasma gondii*

30

31 **Introduction**

32 Parasites face considerable odds against the successful completion of their life cycle, since
33 most hosts represent isolated and patchy resources that are capable of self-defence. This is
34 especially true for parasite species that rely on a vector host coming in contact with or being
35 eaten by a specific target host in which the parasite must continue its development (Choisy et
36 al., 2003; Parker et al., 2003; Poulin, 2007). The parasite and its host must be at the right
37 place at the right time to be transmitted to the next appropriate host. As a result, in some
38 situations, natural selection has favoured parasites capable of manipulating their host's
39 behaviour.

40

41 Parasites may use chemical secretions or other means to alter a variety of host behaviours
42 in a way that benefits the parasites by increasing their chances of transmission to the next host
43 (Moore, 2002; Thomas et al., 2005; Poulin, 2007). Some of these examples involve changes
44 in host phenotype, including the acquisition of new behaviours, and they provide strong
45 evidence for the concept of the extended phenotype, i.e. genes in one organism having
46 phenotypic expression in another organism (Dawkins, 1982).

47

48 Here, we provide an overview of ways in which parasites can alter some aspects of their
49 host's behaviour to increase their own transmission success. In particular, we highlight some
50 parasites of veterinary significance that are known to alter host behaviour, demonstrating that
51 host manipulation is tightly linked to the parasite's epidemiology.

52

53 **Classical examples of parasitic 'manipulation'**

54 The scientific literature contains many reports of modified behaviours in parasitised
55 animals. Three spined sticklebacks harbouring the larval cestode *Schistocephalus solidus*

56 swim closer to the water surface and become less aware of the presence of predatory birds
57 that serve as the parasite's definitive hosts (DHs) (Barber et al., 2004). Rodents infested by
58 the nematode *Trichinella spiralis* or the protozoan *Sarcocystis cernae* are less wary of
59 predators, thus facilitating the parasites' transmission to DHs (Rau, 1983; Hoogenboom and
60 Dijkstra, 1987).

61

62 In some cases, completely new behaviours are observed in infested hosts. For instance, an
63 orb-weaving spider infested by a parasitic wasp larva builds an unusual web designed to
64 protect the emerging larva after the host spider's death (Eberhard, 2000). Another parasitic
65 wasp induces its caterpillar host to remain near the parasite after the latter emerges from its
66 body, thus protecting the pupating wasps from potential predators by acting as a 'bodyguard'
67 (Grosman et al., 2008).

68

69 Ants infested by a nematode that must be transmitted to fruit-eating birds not only look
70 like fruit, by developing a swollen, red abdomen, but also 'behave' like fruits, perching
71 among berries of similar colour, with the abdomen held in an elevated position, motionless,
72 waiting for predation by birds (Yanoviak et al., 2008).

73

74 Nematomorphs (hairworms) and mermithid nematode larvae are parasitic and develop
75 inside terrestrial arthropods, but must reach the aquatic environment in which the adult worms
76 live after maturation. They induce their arthropod hosts to commit 'suicide' by forcing them
77 to seek and jump into water (Thomas et al., 2002, 2003; Penney and Bennett, 2006). Again,
78 such manipulation involves the sudden appearance of new host behaviours induced by the
79 parasite for its own needs (Thomas et al., 2003; Biron et al., 2005).

80

81 Clearly, many parasite species are capable of manipulating their hosts' behaviour in
82 natural systems. However, domestic animals can also be affected by manipulative parasites,
83 either as target hosts or manipulated vector hosts. Rabies is one of the best examples of a
84 pathogen that manipulates the behaviour of the host. The virus infects the central nervous
85 system (CNS) of mammals, particularly regions of the brain controlling aggressive and social
86 behaviours, and the salivary glands. Infected hosts may display aggression, which facilitates
87 transmission of infection through biting (Klein, 2003). Although the fatal outcome of rabies
88 forces the pathogen into a permanent search for new hosts, rabies-induced behavioural
89 changes has ensured the transmission, survival and spread of this pathogen across time, space
90 and host species with extraordinary efficacy.

91

92 **Parasites of veterinary importance**

93 *Dicrocoelium dendriticum*

94 The trematode *Dicrocoelium dendriticum* is present worldwide and is distributed
95 throughout Europe, Asia, North Africa and America (Otranto and Traversa, 2002). Although
96 the pathogenicity of *D. dendriticum* is usually low, dicrocoelosis can lead to weight loss and
97 reduced milk production in domestic ruminants and can sometimes be fatal (Otranto and
98 Traversa, 2002). Reports of the disease are increasing, mostly due to the development of
99 anthelmintic resistance and the geographical expansion of *D. dendriticum* (Otranto and
100 Traversa, 2002; Goater and Colwell, 2007).

101

102 *D. dendriticum* is transmitted to ruminants, including domestic cattle and sheep, when
103 they accidentally ingest infested ants along with their plant food. The parasite larva induces
104 its insect host to climb to the tip of grass blades and remain there, anchored by its mandibles,
105 awaiting ingestion by a grazing herbivore (Carney, 1969; Roming et al., 1980).

106

107 *Diplostomum spathaceum*

108 The common digenean eye fluke *Diplostomum spathaceum* has a complex three host life
109 cycle including lymnaeid snails, fish and fish-eating birds, such as grebes and cormorants
110 (Crowden and Broom, 1980; Karvonen et al., 2004a). Free-swimming cercariae, produced
111 through asexual multiplication within the snail and released into the water, must find a fish,
112 which they penetrate through the gills or skin. They migrate through tissues to the lens of the
113 eye, where they develop into long-lived metacercariae. The cycle is completed when the fish
114 host, along with its metacercariae, is eaten by the DH, a bird.

115

116 By lodging themselves in the host's eyes, metacercariae induce cataracts due to
117 mechanical destruction of the lens and metabolic products excreted by the parasites, thus
118 reducing the host's vision (Karvonen et al., 2004b; Seppälä et al., 2004). *D. spathaceum*
119 infestation in fish is also associated with changes in host behaviour, such as increased activity,
120 migration towards the surface of the water and lack of responsiveness to visual stimuli,
121 although swimming ability is unaffected (Crowden and Broom, 1980; Brassard et al., 1982;
122 Seppälä et al., 2004). Thus, by injuring important sensory organs, *D. spathaceum* is able to
123 alter fundamental fish anti-predator mechanisms, such as crypsis and shoaling behaviour, in a
124 way that is likely to increase the vulnerability of the intermediate host (IH) to predation by the
125 DHs (Krause and Ruxton, 2002; Seppälä et al., 2005, 2006, 2008).

126

127 *D. spathaceum* is a globally distributed parasite that infests a large number of fish species,
128 with high prevalence and intensities recorded in some hosts (Crowden and Broom, 1980;
129 Moody and Gaten, 1982; McKeown and Irwin, 1997; Valtonen and Gibson, 1997; Karvonen
130 et al., 2004b). While pathological effects of diplostomiasis have seldom been documented in

131 wild fish, partly because heavily infested individuals are removed from the population
132 through predation (Pennycuick, 1971), *D. spathaceum* epizootics can be very serious in
133 captive fish, particularly farmed salmonids, such as rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*;
134 Betterton, 1974).

135

136 Since *D. spathaceum* cercariae are not actively host seeking and are often patchily
137 distributed, behavioural avoidance of these sources of infestation is the prime defence
138 mechanism displayed by fish hosts (Karvonen et al., 2004c). Captive housing of fish for
139 research, display and large-scale aquaculture is likely, because of spatial confinement and
140 artificially high densities, to constrain fish avoidance behaviours (Barber, 2007). As a result
141 of high and continuous exposure to parasite larvae, *D. spathaceum* frequently is found in large
142 numbers in farmed fish and may cause welfare problems. Anecdotally, rainbow trout heavily
143 infested by *D. spathaceum* are not responsive to anglers' lures, which reduces their suitability
144 for recreational fishing (Moody and Gatlen, 1982).

145

146 *Echinococcus* spp.

147 Hydatid disease (echinococcosis) is due to infestation with cysts (metacestodes) of the
148 cestode parasite *Echinococcus* spp. (Lymbery and Thompson, 1996). These parasites have a
149 life cycle with two hosts, both mammals. The DHs are carnivores, in which the adult cestodes
150 inhabit the small intestine. Eggs released in the faeces and are accidentally ingested by an IH,
151 in which they hatch and develop into hydatid cysts in the lungs, liver and other internal
152 organs. The DH becomes infested when preying on an infested IH.

153

154 Several *Echinococcus* spp. are currently recognised, although numerous intraspecific
155 genetic strains exist (Jenkins et al., 2005). *Echinococcus* spp. have a narrow range of DHs,

156 mainly domestic and wild canids, but a wide geographical distribution, being virtually present
157 on every continent (Eckert and Thompson, 1997). Their metacestodes are generally less host-
158 specific than those of other taeniids and can develop in a wide range of herbivores and
159 omnivores, including humans and, of particular veterinary concern, sheep, cattle and horses
160 (Lymbery and Thompson, 1996; Eckert and Thompson, 1997).

161

162 *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis* are the most important species in term of public health
163 implications and geographical distribution (Eckert et al., 2000; Jenkins et al., 2005; Schweiger
164 et al., 2007; Gottstein and Hemphill, 2008). *E. granulosus* infests almost exclusively domestic
165 animals, with dogs as DHs and predominantly sheep as IHs, but is also infectious for humans
166 (Eckert and Thompson, 1997). *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis* are responsible for cystic
167 and alveolar echinococcosis, respectively, both resulting, through asexual reproduction, in
168 increasingly large and abundant cysts that eventually become debilitating, if not lethal
169 (Jenkins et al., 2005; Thompson, 2008). Infested IHs may thus become more vulnerable to
170 predators, including the DH of the parasite (Ewald, 1995).

171

172 The hypothesis that echinococcosis could increase host vulnerability to predation came
173 from an anecdotal incident reported by Crisler (1956), in which a caribou cow (*Rangifer*
174 *tarandus*) failed to escape from wolves. Postmortem examination revealed that its lungs were
175 infested with several large cysts of *E. granulosus*. In moose (*Alces alces*), a large proportion
176 of hydatid cysts are found in the lungs and severe infestations reduce the endurance of
177 animals trying to escape from grey wolves (*Canis lupus*), one of the DHs of *E. granulosus*
178 (Messier et al., 1989). Wolves and other carnivores selectively prey on weaker animals and
179 moose with cystic hydatid disease may be more vulnerable to predation (Messier et al., 1989;
180 Joly and Messier, 2004). However, no study to date has empirically shown the direct link

181 between hydatid infestation, increased predation risk and increased transmission rates for the
182 parasite.

183

184 Internal organs, including the lungs, are often among the first to be eaten by large
185 carnivores, such as wolves (Joly and Messier, 2004). Therefore, it is possible that selection
186 has favoured the location of parasite cysts at these sites to ensure rapid consumption by the
187 DHs rather than to enhance transmission probability. Nevertheless, the pathological effects of
188 echinococcosis do modify the escape behaviour of its IHs in a way that could increase
189 predation and parasite transmission.

190

191 *Toxoplasma gondii*

192 Alterations in host behaviour following parasitic infection are sometimes exactly what we
193 would expect to see if the host was to start acting in ways that benefit parasite transmission
194 (Poulin, 2007). This is shown by the modifications in host behaviour induced by *Toxoplasma*
195 *gondii* and how they may influence the parasite's transmission to the DH.

196

197 *T. gondii* is a widespread intracellular protozoan capable of infecting all endothermic
198 vertebrates. The parasite has a complex life cycle in which Felidae, mostly cats, are the DHs.
199 Oocysts released in host faeces are persistent in the environment and can remain infectious for
200 more than a year (Webster, 1994a). During that time, they must be ingested by another host,
201 either directly by a cat, in which the parasite invades intestinal cells, matures and sexually
202 produces new oocysts, or by an IH.

203

204 Although a wide range of endotherms (rodents, birds or humans) can be infected, wild
205 rodents are the natural IHs of *T. gondii* (Berdyozov et al., 2000). In the acute phase of infection,

206 the parasite penetrates the intestine, undergoes asexual reproduction and enters macrophages
207 and is transported to preferred encystment sites. The parasite then forms resistant cysts in
208 various organs of its IHs, most commonly the brain (Berdoy et al., 1995); these cysts can
209 persist for the life of an infected host (Webster, 2001). The parasite completes its life cycle
210 when a cat consumes an infected host.

211

212 Although *T. gondii* does not need its DH to survive and can be maintained over time in IH
213 populations by congenital transmission, cannibalism or interspecific predation, its infectivity
214 increases after each episode of sexual reproduction (Webster, 1994a, 2001). Since sexual
215 reproduction of *T. gondii* can be accomplished only in the DH, the parasite is ultimately
216 dependent upon predation of infected prey by cats. As a result, there might be strong selective
217 pressures for transmission to the DH and, therefore, on the parasite to evolve mechanisms to
218 enhance the transmission rate from IHs to cats (Berdoy et al., 2000; Webster, 2001; Webster
219 et al., 2006).

220

221 Latent toxoplasmosis has long been considered to produce inapparent infections in
222 immunocompetent hosts (Webster et al., 1994, 2006; Kankova et al., 2007). However, recent
223 studies have shown that chronic *T. gondii* infection can induce modifications in the behaviour
224 of infected hosts. In rodents, *T. gondii* causes increased levels of activity, along with
225 decreases in neophobic (i.e. fear of novelty) and anxiety behaviours, all of which could
226 potentially enhance transmission to DHs (Webster, 1994b; Webster et al., 1994, Gonzalez et
227 al., 2007). Since *T. gondii* mostly invades and encysts in the brain of its IHs, behavioural
228 alterations (i.e. increased activity and lost of neophobia) observed in infected wild rats were
229 initially attributed to encephalitis (Webster, 1994b; Skallova et al., 2006). However, other

230 directly transmitted brain parasites (i.e. parasites that do not rely on the predation of the host)
231 of wild rats do not appear to induce changes in behaviour (Webster, 1994b).

232

233 Rats are strongly neophobic and display a strong innate aversive reaction to cat odour,
234 even after several hundred generations with no exposition to the predator (Berdoy et al., 2000;
235 Webster, 2001; Vyas et al., 2007b). Such anti-predator behaviour is an obvious obstacle
236 against *T. gondii* transmission and could be a prime target for parasite manipulation. *T. gondii*
237 infected rats not only lose their innate aversive reaction to cat odour, but appear to be
238 specifically attracted to the odour, even though other behavioural traits, such as social status
239 and mating success, are unaltered (Webster, 1994b; Berdoy et al., 1995, 2000; Vyas et al.,
240 2007a, b; Lamberton et al., 2008).

241

242 While these studies provide convincing evidence that *T. gondii* is capable of manipulating
243 the behaviour of its IHs, two fundamental questions remain unanswered. First, actual
244 predation rates by the appropriate DH on infected and uninfected rats in the wild are currently
245 unknown and require further investigation (Berdoy et al., 2000; Webster, 2001). Testing the
246 real link between host manipulation and increased transmission (i.e. increased predation) in
247 the wild is a cornerstone of the manipulation hypothesis (Poulin, 1995). Secondly, the
248 mechanisms by which *T. gondii* affects the CNS of its host and achieves such behavioural
249 manipulation have yet to be elucidated (Webster, 2001, 2007). The harmful effects of latent
250 toxoplasmosis on human health and behaviour include meningoencephalitis, personality
251 changes, decreased intelligence quotient, reduced psychomotor performance and
252 neuropsychiatric disorders, such as schizophrenia (Webster et al., 2006; Flegr, 2007).

253

254 Secretion of chemicals by *T. gondii* and/or manipulation of neurotransmitter secretion are
255 likely to be required to induce alterations in behaviour, since the physical presence of cysts in
256 the brain is unlikely to be sufficient to cause such complex and specific changes in IH innate
257 behaviours (Carruthers and Suzuki, 2007; Vyas et al., 2007b). Hosts infected with *T. gondii*
258 exhibit changes in expression of dopaminergic and anxiogenic brain receptors, in levels of
259 neurotransmitters, such as dopamine, and in concentrations of noradrenaline (norepinephrine)
260 and testosterone (Skalnova et al., 2006; Flegr, 2007; Webster, 2007; Flegr et al., 2008).

261

262 Although the effects of toxoplasmosis on the brain have been investigated in detail, the
263 pathways by which *T. gondii* manipulates host behaviour remain unclear. For example,
264 preferential invasion sites of different strains of *T. gondii* in the brain could also affect the
265 severity of the disease (Klein, 2003; Carruthers and Suzuki, 2007; Gonzalez et al., 2007; Vyas
266 et al., 2007a). In addition, the outcome of infection is strongly influenced by both parasite and
267 host properties; in humans and laboratory rodents, different genetic strains of *T. gondii* may
268 vary in virulence (Carruthers and Suzuki, 2007; Webster, 2007). A combination of
269 neurochemical and behavioural studies, controlling for both host and parasite genetic strains,
270 are still essential to our understanding of the physiological mechanisms underlying *T. gondii*-
271 induced alterations in animal behaviour (Skalnova et al., 2006).

272

273 **Conclusions**

274 Since van Dobben (1952) reported that fish harbouring larvae of the cestode *Ligula*
275 *intestinalis* were significantly more likely to be captured by cormorants (their DHs) than
276 uninfected counterparts, it has been suspected that parasites could manipulate their hosts.
277 Since then, examples of parasite-induced modifications of host behaviour have been
278 documented in a wide range of parasite-host associations, including species of medical and

279 veterinary importance. Some diseases, such as rabies and echinococcosis, are of concern due
280 to their recent re-emergence, wide spatial distribution and human health implications.

281

282 Parasite-induced alterations are often considered to be adaptive parasitic manipulations
283 that increase the transmission rates of the pathogens. However, the mechanisms, particularly
284 neurological pathways, underlying these behavioural changes are only now coming to light
285 (Thomas et al., 2003). How a pathogen alters its host's phenotype remains a central topic in
286 parasite-induced behavioural manipulation. Understanding such mechanisms is vital for
287 solving fundamental evolutionary questions. Moreover, understanding parasite transmission
288 strategies is also at the heart of applied aspects of parasitology, such as the treatment of
289 associated disease.

290

291 The use of proteomics to study the proteins produced by manipulative parasites and the
292 associated host behavioural alterations offers new opportunities for the study of manipulation
293 by parasites (Biron et al., 2005, Thomas et al., 2005). Future studies would therefore benefit
294 from a focus on the molecular cross-talk, particularly the neurochemical pathways, between
295 hosts and their manipulative parasites.

296

297 **Conflict of interest statement**

298 None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other
299 people or organisations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

300

301 **References**

302 Barber, I., 2007. Parasites, behaviour and welfare of fish. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*
303 104, 251-264.

304

305 Barber, I., Walker, P., Svensson, P.A., 2004. Behavioural responses to simulated avian
306 predation in female three spined sticklebacks: the effect of experimental
307 *Schistocephalus solidus* infections. Behaviour 141, 1425-1440.
308

309 Berdoy, M., Webster, J.P., MacDonald, D.W., 1995. Parasite-altered behaviour: is the effect
310 of *Toxoplasma gondii* on *Rattus norvegicus* specific? Parasitology 111, 403-409.
311

312 Berdoy, M., Webster, J.P., MacDonald, D.W., 2000. Fatal attraction in rats infected with
313 *Toxoplasma gondii*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 267, 1591-1594.
314

315 Betterton, C., 1974. Studies on the host specificity of the eyefluke, *Diplostomum spathaceum*,
316 in brown and rainbow trout. Parasitology 69, 11-29.
317

318 Biron, D.G., Marché, L., Ponton, F., Loxdale, H.D., Galéotti, N., Renault, L., Joly, C.,
319 Thomas, F., 2005. Behavioural manipulation in a grasshopper harbouring hairworm: a
320 proteomics approach. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 272, 2117-2126.
321

322 Brassard, P., Rau, M.E., Curtis, M.A., 1982. Parasite-induced susceptibility to predation in
323 diplostomiasis. Parasitology 85, 495-501.
324

325 Carney, W.P., 1969. Behavioral and morphological changes in carpenter ants harboring
326 dicrocoeliid metacercariae. American Midland Naturalist 82, 605-611.
327

328 Carruthers, V.B., Suzuki, Y., 2007. Effects of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection on the brain.
329 Schizophrenia Bulletin 33, 745-751.
330

331 Choisy, M., Brown, S.P., Lafferty, K.D., Thomas, F., 2003. Evolution of trophic transmission
332 in parasites: why add intermediate hosts? American Naturalist 162, 172-181.
333

334 Crisler, L., 1956. Observations of wolves hunting caribou. Journal of Mammalogy 37, 337-
335 346.
336

337 Crowden, A.E., Broom, D.M., 1980. Effects of the eye fluke, *Diplostomum spathaceum*, on
338 the behaviour of dace (*Leusiscus leusiscus*). Animal Behaviour 28, 287-294.
339

340 Dawkins, R., 1982. The Extended Phenotype. W.H. Freeman, Oxford University Press, New
341 York.
342

343 Eberhard, W.G., 2000. Spider manipulation by a wasp larva. Nature 406, 255-256.
344

345 Eckert, J., Thompson, R.C.A., 1997. Intraspecific variation of *Echinococcus granulosus* and
346 related species with emphasis on their infectivity to humans. Acta Tropica 64, 19-34.
347

348 Eckert, J., Conraths, F.J., Tackmann, K., 2000. Echinococcosis: an emerging or re-emerging
349 zoonosis? International Journal for Parasitology 30, 1283-1294.
350

351 Ewald, P.W., 1995. The evolution of virulence: a unifying link between parasitology and
352 ecology. Journal of Parasitology 81, 659-669.
353

- 354 Flegr, J., 2007. Effects of *Toxoplasma* on human behavior. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 33, 757-
355 760.
356
- 357 Flegr, J., Lindova, J., Kodym, P., 2008. Sex-dependent toxoplasmosis-associated differences
358 in testosterone concentration in humans. *Parasitology* 135, 427-431.
359
- 360 Goater, C.P., Colwell, D.D., 2007. Epidemiological characteristics of an invading parasite:
361 *Dicrocoelium dendriticum* in sympatric wapiti and beef cattle in Southern Alberta,
362 Canada. *Journal of Parasitology* 93, 491-494.
363
- 364 Gonzalez, L.E., Rojnik, B., Urrea, F., Urdaneta, H., Petrosino, P., Colasante, C., Pino, S.,
365 Hernandez, L., 2007. *Toxoplasma gondii* infection lower anxiety as measured in the
366 plus-maze and social interaction tests in rats. A behavioral analysis. *Behavioural Brain*
367 *Research* 177, 70-79.
368
- 369 Gottstein, B., Hemphill, A., 2008. *Echinococcus multilocularis*: the parasite-host interplay.
370 *Experimental Parasitology* 119, 447-452.
371
- 372 Grosman, A.H., Janssen, A., de Brito, E.F., Cordeiro, E.G. Colares, F., Oliveira Fonseca, J.,
373 Lima, E.R., Pallini, A., Sabelis, M.W., 2008. Parasitoid increases survival of its pupae
374 by inducing hosts to fight predators. *PLoS ONE* 3, e2276.
375
- 376 Hoogenboom, I., Dijkstra, C., 1987. *Sarcocystis cernae*: a parasite increasing the risk of
377 predation of its intermediate host, *Microtus arvalis*. *Oecologia* 74, 86-92.
378
- 379 Jenkins, D.J., Romig, T., Thompson, R.C.A., 2005. Emergence/re-emergence of
380 *Echinococcus* spp., a global update. *International Journal for Parasitology* 35, 1205-
381 1219.
382
- 383 Joly, D.O., Messier, F., 2004. The distribution of *Echinococcus granulosus* in moose:
384 evidence for parasite-induced vulnerability to predation by wolves. *Oecologia* 140, 586-
385 590.
386
- 387 Kankova, S., Kodym, P., Frynta, D., Vavrinova, R., Kubena, A., Flegr, J., 2007. Influence of
388 latent toxoplasmosis on the secondary sex ratio in mice. *Parasitology* 134, 1709-1717.
389
- 390 Karvonen, A., Hudson, P.J., Seppälä, O., 2004a. Transmission dynamics of a trematode
391 parasite: exposure, acquired resistance and parasite aggregation. *Parasitology Research*
392 92, 183-188.
393
- 394 Karvonen, A., Seppälä, O., Valtonen, E.T., 2004b. Eye fluke-induced cataract formation in
395 fish: quantitative analysis using an ophthalmological microscope. *Parasitology* 129, 473-
396 478.
397
- 398 Karvonen, A., Seppälä, O., Valtonen, E.T., 2004c. Parasite resistance and avoidance
399 behaviour in preventing eye fluke infections in fish. *Parasitology* 129, 159-164.
400
- 401 Klein, S.L., 2003. Parasite manipulation of the proximate mechanisms that mediate social
402 behavior in vertebrates. *Physiology and Behavior* 79, 441-449.
403

- 404 Krause, J., Ruxton, G.D., 2002. Living in Groups. Oxford University Press, New York.
405
- 406 Lamberton, P.H.L., Donnelly, C.A., Webster, J.P., 2008. Specificity of the *Toxoplasma*
407 *gondii*-altered behaviour to definitive versus non-definitive host predation risk.
408 Parasitology 135, 1143-1150.
409
- 410 Lymbery, A.J., Thompson, R.C.A., 1996. Species of *Echinococcus*: pattern and process.
411 Parasitology Today 12, 486-491.
412
- 413 McKeown, C.A., Irwin, S.W.B., 1997. Accumulation of *Diplostomum* spp. (Digenea:
414 Diplostomatidae) metacercariae in the eyes of 0+ and 1+ roach (*Rutilus rutilus*).
415 International Journal for Parasitology 27, 377-380.
416
- 417 Messier, F., Rau, M.E., McNeill, M.A., 1989. *Echinococcus granulosus* (Cestoda: Taeniidae)
418 infections and moose-wolf population dynamics in southwestern Quebec. Canadian
419 Journal of Zoology 67, 216-219.
420
- 421 Moody, J., Gatien, E., 1982. The population dynamics of eyeflukes *Diplostomum spathaceum*
422 and *Tylodelphys clavata* (Digenea: Diplostomatidae) in rainbow trout in Rutland
423 Water: 1974-1978. Hydrobiologia 88, 207-209.
424
- 425 Moore, J., 2002. Parasites and the Behavior of Animals. Oxford University Press, New York.
426
- 427 Otranto, D., Traversa, D., 2002. A review of dicrocoelosis of ruminants including recent
428 advances in the diagnosis and treatment. Veterinary Parasitology 107, 317-335.
429
- 430 Parker, G.A., Chubb, J.C., Ball, M.A., Roberts, G.N., 2003. Evolution of complex life cycles
431 in helminth parasites. Nature 425, 480-484.
432
- 433 Penney, D., Bennett, S.P., 2006. First unequivocal mermithid-lynyphiid (Araneae) parasite-
434 host association. Journal of Arachnology 34, 273-278.
435
- 436 Pennycuik, L., 1971. Quantitative effects of three species of parasites on a population of
437 three-spined sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Journal of Zoology 165, 143-162.
438
- 439 Poulin, R., 1995. 'Adaptive' changes in the behaviour of parasitized animals: a critical
440 review. International Journal for Parasitology 25, 1371-1383.
441
- 442 Poulin, R., 2007. Evolutionary Ecology of Parasites. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
443
- 444 Rau, M.E., 1983. The open-field behaviour of mice infected with *Trichinella spiralis*.
445 Parasitology 88, 415-419.
446
- 447 Roming, T., Lucius, R., Frank, W., 1980. Cerebral larvae in the second intermediate host of
448 *Dicrocoelium dendriticum* (Rudolphi, 1819) and *Dicrocoelium hospes* Looss, 1907
449 (Trematode, Dicrocoelidae). Zeitschrift fur Parasitenkunde 63, 277-286.
450
- 451 Schweiger, A., Ammann, R.W., Candinas, D., Clavien, P.-A., Eckert, J., Gottstein, B., Halkic,
452 N., Muellhaupt, B., Prinz, B.M., Reichen, J., Tarr, P.E., Torgerson, P.R., Deplazes, P.,

- 453 2007. Human alveolar echinococcosis after fox population increase, Switzerland.
454 Emerging Infectious Diseases 13, 878-882.
455
- 456 Seppälä, O., Karvonen, A., Valtonen, E.T., 2004. Parasite-induced change in host behaviour
457 and susceptibility to predation in an eye fluke-fish interaction. Animal Behaviour 68,
458 257-263.
459
- 460 Seppälä, O., Karvonen, A., Valtonen, E.T., 2005. Manipulation of fish host by eye flukes in
461 relation to cataract formation and parasite infectivity. Animal Behaviour 70, 889-894.
462
- 463 Seppälä, O., Karvonen, A., Valtonen, E.T., 2006. Susceptibility of eye fluke-infected fish to
464 predation by bird hosts. Parasitology 132, 575-579.
465
- 466 Seppälä, O., Karvonen, A., Valtonen, E.T., 2008. Shoaling behaviour of fish under parasitism
467 and predation risk. Animal Behaviour 75, 145-150.
468
- 469 Skallova, A., Kodym, P., Frynta, D., Flegre, J., 2006. The role of dopamine in *Toxoplasma*-
470 induced behavioural alterations in mice: an ethological and ethopharmacological study.
471 Parasitology 133, 525-535.
472
- 473 Thomas, F., Adamo, S., Moore, J., 2005. Parasitic manipulation: where are we and where
474 should we go? Behavioural Processes 68, 185-199.
475
- 476 Thomas, F., Schmidt-Rhase, A., Martin, G., Manu, C., Durand, P., Renaud, F., 2002. Do
477 hairworms (Nematomorpha) manipulate the water seeking behaviour of their terrestrial
478 hosts? Journal of Evolutionary Biology 15, 356-361.
479
- 480 Thomas, F., Ulitsky, P., Augier, R., Dusticier, N., Samuel, D., Strambi, C., Biron, D.G.,
481 Cayre, M., 2003. Biochemical and histological changes in the brain of the cricket
482 *Nemobius sylvestris* infected by the manipulative *Paragordius tricuspidatus*
483 (Nematomorpha). International Journal for Parasitology 33, 435-443.
484
- 485 Thompson, R.C.A., 2008. The taxonomy, phylogeny and transmission of *Echinococcus*.
486 Experimental Parasitology 119, 439-446.
487
- 488 Valtonen, E.T., Gibson, D.I., 1997. Aspects of the biology of diplostomid metacercarial
489 (Digenea) populations occurring in fishes in different localities of northern Finland.
490 Annales Zoologici Fennici 34, 47-59.
491
- 492 Van Dobben, W.H., 1952. The food of the cormorant in the Netherlands. Ardea 40, 1-63.
493
- 494 Vyas, A., Kim, S-K., Giacomini, N., Boothroyd, J.C., Sapolsky, R.M., 2007a. Behavioral
495 changes induced by *Toxoplasma* infection of rodents are highly specific to aversion of
496 cat odors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
497 America 104, 6442-6447.
498
- 499 Vyas, A., Kim, S-K., Sapolsky, R.M., 2007b. The effects of *Toxoplasma* infection on rodent
500 behavior are dependent on dose of the stimulus. Neuroscience 148, 342-348.
501

- 502 Webster, J.P., 1994a. Prevalence and transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii* in wild brown rats,
503 *Rattus norvegicus*. Parasitology 108, 407-411.
504
- 505 Webster, J.P., 1994b. The effect of *Toxoplasma gondii* and other parasites on activity levels in
506 wild and hybrid *Rattus norvegicus*. Parasitology 109, 583-589.
507
- 508 Webster, J.P., 2001. Rats, cats, people and parasites: the impact of latent toxoplasmosis on
509 behaviour. Microbes and Infection 3, 1037-1045.
510
- 511 Webster, J.P., 2007. The effect of *Toxoplasma gondii* on animal behavior: playing cat and
512 mouse. Schizophrenia Bulletin 33, 752-756.
513
- 514 Webster, J.P., Brunton, C.F.A., MacDonald, D.W., 1994. Effect of *Toxoplasma gondii* upon
515 neophobic behaviour in wild brown rats, *Rattus norvegicus*. Parasitology 109, 37-43.
516
- 517 Webster, J.P., Lamberton, P.H.L., Donnelly, C.A., Torrey, E.F., 2006. Parasites as causative
518 agents of human affective disorders? The impact of anti-psychotic, mood-stabilizer and
519 anti-parasite medication on *Toxoplasma gondii*'s ability to alter host behaviour.
520 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 273, 1023-1030.
521
- 522 Yanoviak, S.P., Kaspari, M., Dudley, R., Poinar Jr., G., 2008. Parasite-induced fruit mimicry
523 in a tropical canopy ant. American Naturalist 171, 536-544.